

# Proposal to revoke classifications of Community Land

-

## Submissions Summary Report

Report written pursuant to the Section 194 of the  
*Local Government Act 1999*

## Contents

|                                        |   |
|----------------------------------------|---|
| Introduction .....                     | 2 |
| Purpose of Engagement .....            | 2 |
| Engagement/Communication Methods ..... | 2 |
| Participant Responses .....            | 4 |
| Appendix 1 .....                       | 5 |

# Introduction

This community Submissions Summary Report is prepared in relation to the proposal to commence the revocation of community land status for the following Council owned properties, to return the parcels of land to their rightful owner:

- Lot 949 Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters, CT Volume 6302 Folio 529
- Lot 951 Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters, CT Volume 6302 Folio 530

# Purpose of Engagement

The community engagement purpose was to provide clear information to stakeholders, local residents and the community in relation to the proposal to revoke the Community Land status on the selected properties for the purpose of future disposal. To afford interested persons with an opportunity to provide feedback on the proposal and to comply with legislative requirements.

# Engagement/Communication Methods

Internal consultation undertaken within Council did not identify a strategic or operational need for Council to retain ownership of the subject parcels of land.

Community Consultation on the proposal to revoke the Community Land classification on the selected properties was open for 28 days between 30 June 2025 and 28 July 2025:

- three letters were distributed to adjacent property owners of the subject properties
- Notices placed in newspapers:
  - Murray Valley Standard – Thursday 3 July 2025 Edition
- Facebook posts scheduled throughout the consultation period
  - Monday 30 June 2025
  - Tuesday 8 July 2025
  - Monday 14 July 2025
  - Thursday 24 July 2025
- Placed on Council's website:
  - Latest News Item (fixed to home page for the entire period of consultation)
  - Public Consultation Page



## Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification

*Pursuant to Section 194 of the Local Government Act 1999*

Notice is hereby given, in accordance with Section 194 of the Local Government Act 1999 and Council's Public Consultation Policy, that Mid Murray Council resolved at its meeting held 17 June 2025, that the public be consulted over the proposal to remove the Community Land classification of land located:

- Lot 949 Deposited Plan 133189, Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters CT Volume 6302 Folio 529
- Lot 949 Deposited Plan 133189, Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters CT Volume 6302 Folio 530

Council is considering the revocation of the community land classification of the land for the purposes of returning the land to its appropriate owner. The detailed Proposal to Revoke Community Land Classification Report is available for inspection on Council's website, [www.mid-murray.sa.gov.au](http://www.mid-murray.sa.gov.au) or at any of Council's Offices.

Written submissions may be sent to the Chief Executive Officer no later than 5:00pm Monday 28 July 2025. Persons making a submission should indicate whether they wish to address Council by way of a deputation. Following the public consultation period, a further report will be submitted to Council to enable it to consider the submissions received.

For more information, contact Council's Director Infrastructure & Field Services, David Hassett on 8569 0100 or by email [postbox@mid-murray.sa.gov.au](mailto:postbox@mid-murray.sa.gov.au).

Ben Scales

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

12781628-EB26-25

## Participant Responses

Council has prepared a Submissions Summary Report. This summary lists the main points made by each respondent, and what outcome they seek from Council's decisions. All four (4) submissions received are available in **Appendix 1**.

| Property                                                              | Name of Respondent                                | Key Themes                                                                                                                                                       | Overall Sentiment                                                                             | Recommendation                                                              |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Lot 951 Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters, CT Volume 6302 Folio 530</b> | Neville Byrne, Mannum Waters (Contribution ID 65) | Responsibility for the boat ramp area should not be Councils.                                                                                                    | Supports the revocation of Community Land Status                                              | It is recommended that Community Land Status be removed from this property. |
| <b>Lot 951 Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters, CT Volume 6302 Folio 530</b> | Suzi Penley, Mannum Waters (Contribution ID 66)   | The boat ramp is important to the area and needs to be finished and safety issues addressed                                                                      | The response does not comment on the revocation process or proposal                           | It is recommended that Community Land Status be removed from this property. |
| <b>Lot 951 Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters, CT Volume 6302 Folio 530</b> | David Bugeja, Mannum (Contribution ID 69)         | Request to understand what the revocation of Community Land status will mean with regards to access of the area and what the scope of works under the grant was. | The response does not comment on the revocation process or proposal                           | It is recommended that Community Land Status be removed from this property. |
| <b>Lot 949 Pelican Drive, Mannum Waters, CT Volume 6302 Folio 529</b> | Carol Farrelly, Mannum (Contribution ID 71)       | That the area be taken over by Council and used for native wildlife and as a designated green area                                                               | Supports a proposal of being vested to Council and developed as a nature reserve when vested. | It is recommended that Community Land Status be maintained.                 |

### Privacy

Please note that only the name and location of each submitter is detailed in the report. All personal details in the original submission contained in **Appendix 1** are redacted to maintain privacy.

Any commentary that is considered defamatory or inappropriate have been redacted.

# Appendix 1

## Submissions Received

Mid Murray Your Say

## Feedback Form - Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification Results

Jul 01, 2025 - Jul 28, 2025

**Project:** Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification

**Form:** Feedback Form - Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification

**Tool Type:** Form

**Activity ID:** 16

**Exported:** 2025-07-29 10:25:24

**Exported By:** NVivian

**Filter By:** No filters applied.

Response No:  
1

Contribution ID: 71

Member ID:

Date Submitted: Jul 28, 2025, 01:51 PM

**Q1 First Name**

Short Text carol

**Q2 Last Name**

Short Text farrelly

**Q3 Suburb**

Short Text Mannum

**Q4 Email**

Email

**Q5 Would you like to make any comment on the Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification.**

Long Text

I would like to see block 495 remain as community land specifically a nature reserve. I would like to see the block revegetated in native trees and flora to serve as a natural habitat for the birdlife that currently reside there or are supported by the area. This includes several mating pairs of swamp hen, loons, ducks, pelicans, herons, cormorants and many other bird species. I believe the cost of revegetation and maintenance would be minimal and my husband and myself would be happy to assist with ongoing maintenance. I feel that the developer has lost interest in developing it as originally planned and I would like to see the council take over it as community land for the native wildlife and a designated green area.

Response No:  
2

Contribution ID: 69

Member ID:

Date Submitted: Jul 17, 2025, 09:26 AM

**Q1 First Name**

Short Text David

**Q2 Last Name**

Short Text Bugeja

**Q3 Suburb**

Short Text Mannum

**Q4 Email**

Email

**Q5 Would you like to make any comment on the Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification.**

Long Text

In any case, what will this mean going forward with respect to the upkeep and use of the boat ramp and picnic area for the general public?

Currently the public have free access to the area, and the inferred reverting back to private ownership could result in no public access altogether. Is this in the best interests of the community?

What was the scope of works that was being sought under the grant for lots 949 and 951?

Response No:  
3

Contribution ID: 66

Member ID:

Date Submitted: Jul 08, 2025, 06:11 PM

**Q1 First Name**

Short Text Suzi

**Q2 Last Name**

Short Text Penley

**Q3 Suburb**

Short Text Mannum Waters

**Q4 Email**

Email

[REDACTED]

**Q5 Would you like to make any comment on the Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification.**

Long Text

The boat ramp is an important addition to the area. It is imperative that the ramp is finished and safety issues are addressed

Response No:  
4

Contribution ID: 65

Member ID:

Date Submitted: Jul 01, 2025, 08:46 AM

**Q1 First Name**

Short Text

Neville

**Q2 Last Name**

Short Text

Byrne

**Q3 Suburb**

Short Text

Mannum Waters

**Q4 Email**

Email

[REDACTED]

**Q5 Would you like to make any comment on the Revocation of Land from Community Land Classification.**

Long Text

Yes,  
The Mid Murray Council should not be held responsible for (yet another) attempt by the Developer to avoid its obligations under the Development Act 1993.  
Responsibility for the boat ramp area should not be Council responsibility just because the Developer does not wish to contribute to its completion.  
I approve of the revocation until a new Deed is agreed for this facility with the Council.